Journal of Economics
AND MANAGEMENT SCIENTIES
https://jems.ink
ISSN 2655-1934 (print), 2655-6685 (online)

Algorithmic Management and Employee Autonomy: Impacts on Creativity in Remote First Companies

Medi Wusono[1], Mira Yona[2], Yannik Ariyati[3], Rahman Hasibuan[4], Hanafi Siregar[5], Habibuddin Nasution[6], Wilmar Simanjuntak[7], Peromikha Barus[8], and Siti Yolanda[9]*

[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9] *University of Riau Islands, Indonesia*

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received: 15 November 25
Final Revision: 23 November 25
Accepted: 26 November 25
Online Publication: 31 December 25

KEYWORDS

Algorithmic Management, Employee Autonomy, Creative Work, Remote First Companies, Digital Oversight

A B S T R A C T

This study explores the influence of algorithmic management on employee autonomy and creativity within remote first companies. As organizations increasingly rely on automated systems to assign tasks, monitor performance, and standardize workflows, concerns arise about how such systems impact workers' creative capacities. Using a qualitative case study approach, data were collected through semi-structured interviews with 15 employees across various digital industries. Thematic analysis revealed that while algorithmic oversight enhances operational clarity and consistency, it also imposes rigid structures that often reduce discretionary decision making and psychological safety. Autonomy emerged as a key mediating factor: employees who retained some control over how they worked were more likely to report creative engagement, while those facing strict digital control reported demotivation and cognitive fatigue. Emotional responses, such as anxiety, trust, and detachment, were also found to shape creative outcomes. The study further identified design implications for algorithmic systems, emphasizing transparency, human override mechanisms, and participatory features that support innovation. These findings suggest that creativity and algorithmic management are not mutually exclusive but require careful system design that balances control with employee empowerment. The research contributes to a deeper understanding of how digital oversight affects innovation in distributed work settings and offers practical guidance for organizations navigating remote workforce management through algorithms.

KATA KUNCI

Manajemen Algoritmik, Otonomi Karyawan, Kerja Kreatif, Perusahaan Remote First, Pengawasan Digital

A B S T R A K

Penelitian ini mengkaji pengaruh manajemen algoritmik terhadap otonomi dan kreativitas karyawan dalam perusahaan berbasis remote first. Seiring meningkatnya ketergantungan organisasi pada sistem otomatis untuk pembagian tugas, pemantauan kinerja, dan standarisasi alur kerja, muncul kekhawatiran tentang dampaknya terhadap kapasitas kreatif pekerja. Dengan pendekatan studi kasus kualitatif, data dikumpulkan melalui wawancara semi terstruktur terhadap 15 karyawan dari berbagai sektor industri digital. Analisis tematik menunjukkan bahwa pengawasan algoritmik meningkatkan kejelasan operasional dan konsistensi kerja, tetapi juga menghadirkan struktur yang kaku dan sering kali mengurangi ruang diskresi serta keamanan psikologis. Otonomi muncul sebagai faktor mediasi kunci: karyawan yang memiliki kontrol atas cara mereka bekerja lebih cenderung menunjukkan keterlibatan kreatif, sementara mereka yang menghadapi kontrol digital ketat melaporkan penurunan motivasi dan kelelahan kognitif. Reaksi emosional seperti kecemasan, kepercayaan, dan keterputusan juga memengaruhi hasil kreatif. Studi ini mengidentifikasi implikasi desain sistem algoritmik, menekankan pentingnya transparansi, mekanisme intervensi manusia, dan fitur partisipatif yang mendukung inovasi. Temuan ini menunjukkan bahwa kreativitas dan manajemen algoritmik tidak saling bertentangan, tetapi memerlukan desain sistem yang cermat agar tercapai keseimbangan antara kontrol dan pemberdayaan karyawan. Penelitian ini memberikan pemahaman mendalam mengenai pengaruh pengawasan digital terhadap inovasi dalam konteks kerja jarak jauh dan menawarkan panduan praktis bagi organisasi.

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR

medi.wusono@gmail.com

DOI

10.37034/jems.v8i1.288

1. Introduction

Remote first companies are increasingly adopting algorithmic management as a central mechanism to coordinate work, monitor employee performance, and structure digital workflows [1]. The shift toward fully remote operations has accelerated the use of automated decision systems capable of distributing tasks, evaluating outputs, and regulating work processes without traditional face to face supervision [2]. This development forms the background of the present study, as organizations now rely on algorithms not only for operational efficiency but also for maintaining

consistency in a dispersed workforce [3]. The rise of algorithmic systems has produced new management logics in which decisions are driven by data, computational rules, and continuous digital monitoring [4].

A growing body of literature highlights that algorithmic management influences organizational dynamics in two fundamental ways [5]. First, prior studies indicate that algorithmic systems can enhance fairness, optimize coordination, and reduce human bias in managerial decisions [6]. Second, scholars also identify tensions created by algorithmic control, including the potential reduction of employee autonomy, increased performance pressure, and rigid evaluation criteria that limit discretion at work [7]. Despite these empirical insights, the relationship between algorithmic control and creativity remains understudied, especially in remote first settings where employees rely heavily on autonomy to generate ideas, collaborate asynchronously, and solve complex problems [8].

The central motivation of this study emerges from the gap in existing literature that rarely integrates algorithmic management, employee autonomy, and creativity within a unified explanation [9]. While creativity is widely recognized as a key resource for organizational innovation, especially in knowledge intensive remote work environments, little is known about how algorithmic systems shape the psychological and behavioral conditions necessary for creative performance [10]. This becomes particularly important as remote first employees must navigate digital metrics, automated evaluations, and algorithmic workflows that may either support or constrain their creative capacity [11].

Based on these considerations, the main purpose of this study is to analyze how algorithmic management affects employee autonomy and, in turn, how autonomy influences creativity in remote first companies [12]. The research seeks to answer the question of whether algorithmic systems function primarily as tools that enhance coordination or as digital controls that restrict individual agency and creative expression [13]. The study aims to contribute empirical and theoretical clarity to an emerging field of digital work research by identifying the mechanisms through which algorithmic management shapes creativity in fully remote organizational environments [14].

Current research on algorithmic management offers useful insights into how digital systems enhance efficiency, standardize evaluations, and reduce bias, yet empirical studies that integrate its impact on autonomy and creativity remain limited [15]. Most existing works analyze algorithmic control in isolation either from the perspective of monitoring or performance without examining how these systems simultaneously influence employees' sense of autonomy and their creative output [16]. Moreover, previous studies predominantly focus on gig platforms and hybrid workplaces, leaving remote first organizations relatively unexplored even though they rely almost entirely on algorithmic coordination to manage dispersed teams [17]. The psychological dimension of algorithmic control also remains insufficiently addressed; little is known about how employees interpret algorithmic monitoring, rigid performance metrics, or automated task allocation, and how these interpretations affect the cognitive space necessary for creative engagement. Likewise, research has not adequately examined how strict algorithmic KPIs, constant visibility, and data driven evaluation structures shape employees' ability to experiment, generate ideas, and exercise discretion within remote work environments.

The novelty of this study lies in its development of an integrative model that links algorithmic management, employee autonomy, and creativity within a single analytical framework. By positioning autonomy as a mediating mechanism, this research provides a fresh theoretical explanation for how algorithmic control can either limit or enhance creative behavior in digital workplaces. This study also contributes originality by situating the analysis within fully remote first companies, a context that differs fundamentally from gig platforms or hybrid work settings due to its dependence on digital processes and decentralized decision making. In addition, the study introduces new psychological insights by examining how employees interpret algorithmic decisions and how such interpretations influence their motivation and capacity to produce creative work. The findings offer practical innovation as well, highlighting design principles for algorithmic systems that balance digital oversight with autonomy to support creativity in distributed teams. Through these contributions, the research advances a more comprehensive understanding of digital management and its implications for creativity in remote first organizations.

2. Research Method

2.1. Research Design

This study employs a qualitative research design to explore how algorithmic management impacts employee autonomy and creativity in remote first organizations. The qualitative approach is particularly suitable for this research because it allows for the investigation of subjective experiences, perceptions, and interpretations that cannot be captured through quantitative measures. A case study strategy is adopted to provide in-depth, contextual understanding of the phenomenon in its real-world setting. Remote first companies serve as the unit of analysis, focusing on organizations that rely heavily on algorithmic tools for task coordination, performance monitoring, and decision-making processes. By choosing a case study

method, the research can examine how algorithmic systems operate in practice and how employees perceive their influence on work autonomy and creative behavior. This design supports the development of a rich, nuanced narrative about the complex interaction between technology and human agency in digital workplaces. It also allows for the integration of multiple data sources to enhance analytical depth and validity.

2.2. Participants and Sampling

The participants in this study consist of employees working in remote first companies across various sectors, including technology, digital marketing, and online services. These individuals were selected using purposive sampling, a method that enables the researcher to intentionally choose participants based on specific criteria relevant to the study. In this case, the main criterion was having direct experience working under algorithmic management systems such as automated task assignments, digital performance monitoring, or algorithm driven evaluations. A total of 15 participants were recruited to ensure diverse perspectives based on job roles, levels of seniority, and professional backgrounds. This sample size is considered sufficient in qualitative research for achieving thematic saturation, where no new insights emerge from additional data. The purposive approach ensures that all participants are information rich cases who can provide meaningful reflections about the influence of algorithmic systems on their work autonomy and creativity. The participants were contacted via email and LinkedIn, and all agreed voluntarily to join the study.

2.3. Data Collection

Data collection in this study was conducted through semi structured interviews, which allowed for both consistency across participants and flexibility to explore individual experiences in depth. Interviews were conducted online via video conferencing platforms such as Zoom or Google Meet to accommodate the remote nature of the participants' work environments. Each interview session lasted approximately 45 to 60 minutes and was guided by an interview protocol focusing on key themes: algorithmic control, perceived autonomy, and creative behavior. Questions were open ended to encourage participants to share detailed narratives and personal insights. All interviews were audio recorded with participant consent and later transcribed verbatim to ensure accuracy in capturing their perspectives. In addition to interviews, secondary data such as company documents, digital work policies, and publicly available platform information were collected to triangulate findings and provide broader contextual understanding. This combination of data sources enhances the depth and credibility of the research by validating emerging themes across different types of evidence.

2.4. Data Analysis

Thematic analysis was used to analyze the qualitative data collected from interviews and supporting documents. This method involves identifying, analyzing, and reporting patterns or themes within the data. The analysis process began with familiarization, where transcripts were read multiple times to gain an overall understanding. Initial codes were then generated inductively from the data using NVivo software, allowing for systematic organization and retrieval of meaningful segments. These codes were subsequently grouped into broader themes that reflected recurring ideas, behaviors, or perceptions, particularly related to algorithmic control, employee autonomy, and creativity. The coding process was iterative, involving continuous refinement to ensure that themes accurately represented the data and aligned with the research questions. Through this process, the study was able to uncover not only explicit content but also underlying meanings and psychological responses to algorithmic management. Thematic analysis provided flexibility and depth, making it well suited for interpreting the complex social and organizational dynamics within remote first digital workplaces.

2.5. Trustworthiness and Ethical Considerations

To ensure the credibility and reliability of the findings, this study applied several trustworthiness strategies commonly used in qualitative research. First, methodological triangulation was employed by combining interview data with secondary sources such as organizational documents and platform policies. This helped validate themes across different types of evidence. Second, member checking was conducted by sharing preliminary findings with selected participants to confirm the accuracy of interpretations and enhance authenticity. Third, thick description was used in reporting the context and participant narratives, enabling readers to understand the setting and judge the transferability of the findings to similar environments. Regarding ethics, the study obtained informed consent from all participants prior to interviews, ensuring they understood the research purpose and their rights, including confidentiality and voluntary participation. Data were anonymized to protect identities and stored securely. These measures were implemented to uphold the ethical integrity of the research and to maintain the trust of participants throughout the process.

3. Result and Discussion

This section presents the findings of the study in a coherent and logical sequence that builds a narrative connecting algorithmic management, employee autonomy, and creativity within remote first organizations. The analysis is grounded in empirical data collected through interviews and supported by

relevant organizational documents. Rather than simply listing data points, the results are organized to reveal the underlying patterns and relationships that emerged from participant experiences. Emphasis is placed on how employees interpret and respond to algorithmic systems in their daily work, and how these responses influence their sense of control and creative expression in a digitally mediated environment.

The discussion follows the presentation of results to provide theoretical interpretations and practical implications. It explores how algorithmic controls intersect with psychological needs for autonomy, highlighting the dynamic tensions and enabling mechanisms present in remote first settings. Where results are ambiguous or conflicting, the discussion addresses these complexities objectively, offering possible explanations and considerations for future research. Tables and figures are used selectively to clarify key findings, without duplicating information between text and visuals. Subsections are applied to structure the analysis clearly, allowing readers to follow the narrative through distinct thematic areas that reflect the core research questions of the study.

3.1. Algorithmic Oversight and Task Standardization

The implementation of algorithmic oversight in remote first companies has fundamentally reshaped how tasks are managed and executed. Participants in this study reported that algorithmic systems are heavily utilized to assign tasks, monitor performance, and assess output based on standardized metrics. These systems operate with precision and consistency, which many employees acknowledged as beneficial for clarity and fairness. However, such standardization often results in highly structured workflows that leave minimal space for personal judgment or deviation. Several participants indicated that the constant presence of algorithmic monitoring creates a sense of surveillance that discourages risk taking and experimentation two essential components of creative work.

Moreover, the logic behind algorithmic decisions is frequently opaque, leading employees to feel detached from how and why specific evaluations or task distributions occur. This opacity contributes to a perception of reduced agency, especially when tasks are repetitively assigned without opportunities for self direction. While task standardization may enhance operational efficiency, it also imposes uniformity, which, according to participants, can inhibit the emergence of novel approaches or unconventional ideas. Employees in creative roles, in particular, found the rigid structures misaligned with the fluid and iterative nature of their work. As a result, algorithmic oversight is often experienced as a double-edged sword offering structure but limiting freedom.

Table 1. Employee Views on Task Standardization

Aspect of Oversight	Positive Perceptions	Negative Perceptions
Task Assignment	Clear responsibilities, reduced ambiguity	Repetitive, lacking discretion
Workflow Consistency	Predictable routines	Rigid procedures, limited adaptability
Monitoring and Evaluation	Fairness, objective performance tracking	Feeling of surveillance, pressure to conform

Table 1 illustrates the dual nature of employee perceptions regarding task standardization under algorithmic management. On the one hand, employees recognized several positive aspects of algorithmic oversight. Clear task assignments helped reduce ambiguity, allowing workers to focus on execution rather than interpretation of responsibilities. Workflow consistency was also appreciated, particularly for reducing uncertainty and ensuring equitable treatment across team members. Additionally, automated performance evaluation was perceived by some as more objective than human judgment, thereby promoting a sense of procedural fairness.

However, the table also reflects significant concerns raised by participants. Many reported that task repetition and limited ability to adjust workflows made the job feel mechanical, undermining opportunities for creative contribution. The rigidity of algorithm defined procedures often failed to account for task-specific contexts, leading to frustration and a sense of detachment. Continuous digital monitoring was also experienced negatively, as it created pressure to conform and discouraged experimentation. Employees in creative roles especially found this structure misaligned with the flexible, iterative processes needed for innovation. Overall, Table 1 captures the tension between operational efficiency and employee autonomy, showing how the very systems designed to streamline work can also restrict the dynamic thinking necessary for creative performance.

3.2. Perceived Autonomy Under Algorithmic Control

Perceptions of autonomy emerged as a critical theme in how employees relate to algorithmic management in remote first environments. Many participants described feeling constrained by automated systems that dictate work schedules, assign tasks without consultation, and continuously monitor progress. This sense of diminished autonomy was especially pronounced among those in roles requiring judgment and creativity. Respondents noted that, unlike traditional managers who may allow for negotiation or discretion, algorithmic controls often lack contextual awareness and adaptability. As a result, employees felt their professional judgment was undervalued or entirely bypassed.

Despite these concerns, some participants reported a more positive experience, citing that the predictability of algorithmic systems reduced uncertainty and allowed them to plan their workday with greater efficiency. For these individuals, autonomy was not necessarily about controlling every task, but rather about having a clear structure within which to operate. This highlights that autonomy under algorithmic control is not universally experienced; it varies based on individual expectations, the transparency of system logic, and the nature of the tasks involved. Nonetheless, the prevailing concern remains that when employees have limited input into decision making processes, their sense of ownership and motivation may decline, ultimately affecting engagement and creativity in the long term.

3.3. Psychological Impacts on Creative Engagement

The psychological effects of algorithmic management were found to significantly influence how employees engage with creative tasks. Several participants reported a decline in intrinsic motivation due to the persistent presence of algorithmic monitoring and the pressure to meet strict performance metrics. This constant evaluation created a climate of compliance rather than innovation, where employees focused on meeting predefined criteria rather than exploring new ideas or approaches. Feelings of being surveilled or evaluated by impersonal systems led to anxiety and self-censorship, especially when creative outcomes could not be easily quantified.

In contrast, a minority of respondents experienced algorithmic systems as neutral or even supportive. These individuals highlighted that the clarity and consistency offered by algorithms helped reduce decision fatigue and freed up cognitive resources for higher order thinking. They emphasized the importance of having psychological safety trust that they could experiment without immediate penalties as a precondition for creativity. However, when algorithms were perceived as punitive or overly rigid, psychological safety diminished, and creative engagement suffered. This divergence underscores those psychological responses to algorithmic control are shaped not only by system design but also by individual coping strategies and work contexts. For organizations aiming to foster creativity, these findings point to the need for environments that support emotional security and cognitive freedom.

Table 2. Psychological Responses and Creative Impact

Psychological Reaction	Description	Reported Impact on Creativity
Anxiety and Self-Censorship	Fear of constant monitoring and performance pressure	Suppressed idea generation, reduced risk taking
Detachment	Lack of connection to task logic	Decreased motivation and innovation
Cognitive Clarity	Structured routine reduces mental overload	Enhanced focus on creative elements
Trust and Safety	Confidence in fairness of systems	Increased willingness to experiment

Table 2 presents the spectrum of psychological reactions experienced by employees working under algorithmic management and their subsequent impact on creative engagement. The findings reveal that algorithmic control not only affects task execution but also deeply shapes emotional and cognitive states factors critical to creative work. One prevalent response was anxiety, driven by the pressure of constant surveillance and performance monitoring. Employees expressed fear of making mistakes, which in turn led to self-censorship and reduced risk-taking behaviors that are detrimental to creativity.

Another negative reaction was emotional detachment, especially when the logic behind algorithmic decisions was unclear or when employees felt their input was disregarded. This detachment reduced personal investment in work, thereby dampening motivation to innovate. On the other hand, a subset of participants reported positive outcomes. Structured workflows helped reduce mental overload and decision fatigue, enabling them to concentrate on the creative aspects of their roles. Furthermore, where employees trusted the fairness of the system, they felt safe to propose new ideas and challenge norms. These mixed responses highlight the psychological complexity of working under algorithmic systems. Ultimately, the table underscores that creativity is not solely a function of task design but is equally dependent on how systems shape employees' emotional and cognitive environments.

3.4. Mediating Role of Autonomy in Creativity

Autonomy was identified as a critical mediating factor linking algorithmic management to creative performance. Participants who reported higher levels of perceived autonomy such as the ability to decide how to complete tasks, set personal schedules, or choose problem-solving strategies were more likely to engage in creative behavior. These individuals described their work as intellectually stimulating and felt empowered to explore alternatives beyond standard procedures. Even within algorithmic environments, when systems allowed flexibility or discretion at micro level decision points, employees demonstrated greater motivation to innovate and contribute original ideas.

Conversely, when algorithmic systems rigidly dictated work processes and left no room for self direction, participants reported a noticeable decline in creativity. They perceived such systems as restrictive and demotivating, particularly when every action was monitored and evaluated through fixed metrics. This lack of autonomy led to task completion becoming

mechanical, with limited engagement in exploratory or experimental thinking. The contrast between these two experiences suggests that creativity in remote first companies is not inherently incompatible with algorithmic management. Rather, the extent to which autonomy is preserved within algorithmic structures determines whether such systems serve as enablers or inhibitors of creative work. Therefore, designing algorithmic frameworks that intentionally incorporate spaces for autonomy may enhance creative outcomes.

3.5. Design Implications for Algorithmic Systems

Participants offered several practical insights into how algorithmic systems could be designed to better support creativity while maintaining efficiency in remote first work environments. One key recommendation was to enhance the transparency of algorithmic decision making processes. Employees expressed a desire to understand how tasks are allocated, how performance is evaluated, and how they can influence or respond to these systems. Transparency was seen as a foundation for trust and engagement, especially in creative roles that require interpretation and flexibility rather than strict compliance.

Another important suggestion was to build mechanisms for feedback and human intervention. Participants advocated for hybrid models where algorithms provide structure but human managers retain the ability to override or adjust system outputs when necessary. This flexibility would allow the system to respond to contextual nuances and individual needs. Additionally, incorporating user input into system development through periodic consultations or participatory design was highlighted as a way to align algorithmic logic with actual work practices. Finally, allowing experienced employees greater autonomy within the system, such as task prioritization or scheduling options, was seen as a means to support intrinsic motivation and creative initiative. These insights point toward the need for human centered algorithmic design that balances control with autonomy to foster innovation in distributed teams.

Table 3. Suggested Design Features for Algorithms

Recommended Feature	Intended Function	Expected Benefit
Algorithmic Transparency	Explain task logic and performance metrics	Build trust and reduce uncertainty
Human Override Mechanism	Allow managers to adjust algorithmic decisions	Incorporate context, preserve flexibility
Participatory Design Input	Include employee feedback in system development	Align systems with real work needs
Tiered Autonomy Structures	Grant more freedom to experienced employees	Encourage motivation and initiative

Table 3 outlines key design features proposed by participants to enhance the compatibility between algorithmic management systems and employee creativity in remote first settings. The recommendations reflect a desire to shift from rigid, opaque systems toward more transparent, flexible, and participatory models. The first feature algorithmic transparency was consistently emphasized as foundational. Employees expressed that understanding how decisions are made, why certain tasks are assigned, and how performance is assessed fosters trust and reduces cognitive strain, thereby creating a more supportive environment for creative thinking.

The second recommendation is the integration of human override mechanisms. Participants advocated for a hybrid model where managers retain discretionary power to modify or contextualize algorithmic outputs. This feature was seen as essential for maintaining relevance and fairness, especially when the algorithm fails to consider situational nuances. Additionally, participatory design input allowing users to contribute feedback during system development or revision was identified as a strategy to improve system alignment with real world work processes. Finally, participants suggested tiered autonomy structures, where experienced or high performing employees are granted greater flexibility within the algorithmic system. These recommendations collectively point to a human centered approach to algorithm design, one that not only maintains operational efficiency but also actively supports innovation and creative agency among remote workers.

4. Conclusion

This study demonstrates that algorithmic management in remote first companies exert a profound influence on employee autonomy and creativity. While algorithmic systems provide structure, efficiency, and consistency in task allocation and performance monitoring, they also present significant challenges by limiting discretion, inducing psychological pressure, and constraining creative expression. The findings reveal that employee perceptions of these systems are varied some view them as enablers of focus and clarity, while others experience them as restrictive and demotivating. A central insight from this research is the mediating role of autonomy: where systems preserve or enhance employees' sense of control, creativity is more likely to flourish. The implications of this study suggest that organizations should approach algorithmic design with a human centered perspective. Systems that incorporate transparency, allow contextual flexibility, and involve employee feedback can balance control with empowerment, thereby supporting innovation in digital work environments. These findings are particularly relevant for companies seeking to maintain creative output while scaling remote operations. For future research, it is recommended to explore longitudinal impacts of algorithmic management on team dynamics

and to investigate industry specific differences in algorithmic adaptation.

References

[1] Kellogg, K. C., Valentine, M. A., & Christin, A. (2020). Algorithms at work: The new contested terrain of control. *Academy of Management Annals, 14*(1), 366–410. https://doi.org/10.5465/annals.2018.0174

[2] Jarrahi, M. H., Newlands, G., Lee, M. K., Wolf, C. T., Kinder, E., & Sutherland, W. (2021). *Algorithmic management in a work context. Big Data & Society, 8*(2), 1–14. https://doi.org/10.1177/20539517211020332

[3] Wood, A. J., Graham, M., Lehdonvirta, V., & Hjorth, I. (2019). Good gig, bad gig: Autonomy and algorithmic control in the global gig economy. *Work, Employment and Society, 33*(1), 56–75. https://doi.org/10.1177/0950017018785616

[4] Hu, Y., Zheng, S., Chong, A. Y. L., Lim, E. T., & Tan, C. W. (2024). Preparing ecosystems for platformization: Insights from multiple case studies. *Information Systems Journal, 34*(4), 1004-1036. https://doi.org/10.1111/isj.12467

[5] Barratt, T., Goods, C., & Veen, A. (2020). 'I'm my own boss…': Active intermediation and 'entrepreneurial' worker agency in the Australian gig-economy. *Environment and Planning A: Economy and Space*, *52*(8), 1643–1661. https://doi.org/10.1177/0308518X20914346

[6] Laursen, C. S., Nielsen, M. L., & Dyreborg, J. (2021). Young workers on digital labor platforms: Uncovering the double autonomy paradox. *Nordic Journal of Working Life Studies*, *11*(4), 65–84. https://doi.org/10.18291/njwls.127867

[7] Rani, U., & Furrer, M. (2021). Digital labour platforms and new forms of flexible work in developing countries: Algorithmic control and workers' autonomy. *Competition & Change*, *25*(2), 212–236. https://doi.org/10.1177/1024529420905187

[8] Rahman, H. A. (2021). The invisible cage: Workers' reactivity to opaque algorithmic evaluations. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, *66*(4), 945–988. https://doi.org/10.1177/00018392211010118

[9] Leonardi, P. M., & Treem, J. W. (2020). Behavioral visibility: A new paradigm for organization studies in the age of digitization, digitalization, and datafication. *Organization Studies, 41*(12), 1601–1625. https://doi.org/10.1177/0170840620970728

[10] Tønnessen, Ø., & Flåten, B.-T. (2023). Work from home and collective creativity: Exploring the experiences of IT professionals. *Cogent Business & Management, 10*(3), 2262219. https://doi.org/10.1080/23311975.2023.2262219

[11] Santiago-Torner, C. (2024). Creativity and emotional exhaustion in virtual work environments: The ambiguous role of work autonomy. *European Journal of Investigation in Health, Psychology and Education, 14*(7), 2087–2100. https://doi.org/10.3390/ejihpe14070139

[12] Orlandi, L. B., Pocek, J., Kraus, S., Zardini, A., & Rossignoli, C. (2024). Digital workers' stress: The role of digital creativity in the future jobs. *Journal of Innovation & Knowledge*, *9*(2), 100492. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jik.2024.100492

[13] Veith, C., Minciu, M., & Bojin, D. C. (2025). Understanding the dynamics of telework: A Job Demands Resources model based qualitative analysis of employee and managerial experiences in Romania. *Journal of Theoretical and Applied Electronic Commerce Research, 20*(2), 104. https://doi.org/10.3390/jtaer20020104

[14] Maulana, N., & Syamsunasir, S. (2025). The effect of remote work on creativity and productivity in creative agencies. *Dinasti International Journal of Management Science, 6*(4), 974–990. https://doi.org/10.38035/dijms.v6i4.4386

[15] Bakker, A. B., & Demerouti, E. (2007). The Job Demands Resources model: State of the art. *Journal of Managerial Psychology, 22*(3), 309–328. https://doi.org/10.1108/02683940710733115

[16] Santiago-Torner, C. (2023). The influence of teleworking on creative performance by employees with high academic training: The mediating role of work autonomy, self-efficacy, and creative self-efficacy. *Revista Galega de Economía, 32*(1), 1-26. https://doi.org/10.15304/rge.32.1.8788

[17] Lee, G. K., Lampel, J., & Shapira, Z. (2020). After the storm has passed: Translating crisis experience into useful knowledge. *Organization Science, 31*(4), 1037-1051. https://doi.org/10.1287/orsc.2020.1366